

Superannuation funds and two consecutive years of negative returns



**Oliver's
insights**

Key points

- The slump in investment markets has seen superannuation funds post negative returns for a second financial year.
- While disconcerting, periodic negative returns from growth assets are normal and are the price we pay for the higher long-term returns they provide. Reacting to the current turmoil by moving to cash will lock in losses and only lead to lower long-term returns.
- Fortunately, there are signs of improvement. Share and credit markets led the way down and they are now leading on the way up as the global financial crisis is abating and leading economic indicators are pointing to an economic recovery ahead.

Introduction

The key driver of returns for an investment portfolio is the asset classes in which it is invested. The most common diversified superannuation funds are 70% invested in growth assets (mostly shares and property). The logic is that over the long term, growth assets provide higher returns. This is not necessarily the case in the short term, and over the last year most assets, except cash and government bonds, have had significant negative returns. As a result superannuation funds have had a second financial year of losses.

Key asset class returns over the last two financial years



Source: Thomson Financial, Intech, AMP Capital Investors


After such a bad run, the temptation is to think that cash is a better bet. However, there are several points to note.

Important note: While every care has been taken in the preparation of this document, AMP Capital Investors Limited (ABN 59 001 777 591) (AFSL 232497) makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any statement in it including, without limitation, any forecasts. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. This document has been prepared for the purpose of providing general information, without

Despite volatility, shares have higher long-term returns

Firstly, while shares provide a far more volatile ride than cash or bonds, they provide much higher returns over the long term. This is evident in the next chart which compares the cumulative pre-tax return from A\$100 invested in 1928 into each of Australian cash, government bonds and equities. The chart starts in 1928 as we do not have monthly returns for cash before then. Note that it also uses a logarithmic scale.

A comparison of the long-term returns from cash, bonds & shares



Source: Global Financial Data, AMP Capital Investors

Over the period, cash provides a relatively steady ride but only returns an average 5.4% per annum (pa). So A\$100 invested in 1928 would have grown to A\$6,879 today. Australian government bonds are a bit more volatile and provide a slightly higher average return of 7.0% pa, taking the A\$100 to A\$23,331 today. By contrast, Australian shares provide a rougher ride, but due to an average return of 11.5% pa, the A\$100 invested in 1928 would have grown to A\$668,625 today.

With shares we have to take the bad (periodic negative returns) with the good (higher long-term average returns). Over the last century, shares have had numerous setbacks (1930s crash, the near 60% plunge in the 1970s, the 1987 crash, etc.), but the market has always recovered to resume its rising trend.


Periodic negative returns in superannuation funds are not nice but are normal

Secondly, periodic negative returns from a diversified mix of assets are normal. Traditional diversified investment portfolios aim to reduce the volatility associated with shares and other growth assets by having some exposure to cash and bonds. But the historical record indicates that traditional diversified portfolios have negative returns every six years or so. The next chart shows returns for balanced growth superannuation funds from the Mercer Investment Consulting survey since 1982. As balanced funds only came into existence 30 years ago, the chart also shows a simulated balanced fund. This is constructed on the basis of 70%

taking account of any particular investor's objectives, financial situation or needs. An investor should, before making any investment decisions, consider the appropriateness of the information in this document, and seek professional advice, having regard to the investor's objectives, financial situation and needs. This document is solely for the use of the party to whom it is provided and may not be reproduced without permission from AMP Capital.

Australian equities, 25% Australian bonds and 5% cash. The chart excludes exposure to global assets and property as we do not have a long-term monthly return series for these.

Diversified investment returns over the long term



Source: Global Financial Data, Mercer Investment Consulting, AMP Capital Investors

From the chart, it's clear that while the losses over the last two years are extreme, they are comparable to experiences in the 1930s and 1970s, and more broadly it's clear that negative returns every few years are a normal cyclical phenomenon. Negative returns occurred in 1929-31 (Great Depression), 1938-39, 1941-42 (World War II), 1949, 1952, 1956, 1960-61, 1964-65, 1970-71, 1972-74 (oil crisis, stagflation, Watergate, etc.), 1981-82, 1987-88 (share market crash), 1990, 1994 (bond crash) and 2001-03 (tech wreck, terrorist attacks). Equity market falls and recessions were a key factor in most of these episodes. The only way to avoid negative returns entirely would be to invest solely in cash, but this would result in much lower returns over the long term.

Mean reversion


Thirdly, average returns from superannuation funds over the 25 years to 2007 were well above what might be considered sustainable. From 1901 to 2007, the average return from a mix of 70% equities and 30% bonds and cash is 5.5% pa after inflation. By comparison, the median real balanced growth fund return from 1982 to 2007 was more than 2% pa above this. Between June 2003 and June 2007 real returns were more than double this. In other words, investment returns over the 1982-2007 period were well above what might be considered sustainable and the last couple of years should be seen as a correction after many years of above-average returns. For superannuation funds it means that a realistic long-term real return expectation is inflation plus about 5% pa, not the double digit gains of the good times, but also not the negative returns of the bad times.

Stay the course

Fourthly, given the danger of getting locked into the prevailing sentiment of the times, the best approach for most investors is to adopt an appropriate long-term investment strategy and stick to it. After the losses of the last two financial years the temptation is to switch to a more conservative strategy or cash. The problem is this will lock in the loss and will invariably result in lower long-term returns. The following chart shows the cumulative return of two portfolios from July 1928:

- One is a fixed balanced mix of 70% Australian equities, 25% bonds and 5% cash;
- The other portfolio starts off with the allocation above but moves 100% into cash after any negative financial year and does not move back until after the balanced portfolio has one financial year of positive returns (assuming an investor will require a year of positive returns to get confident again). We have assumed a two-month lag. This is called the 'switching portfolio'.

Comparison of constant strategy versus switching to cash after bad times



Source: Global Financial Data, AMP Capital Investors

The switching strategy does produce better short-term results when there are two consecutive financial years of negative returns from the fixed balanced mix. However, over the long run, it produces an average return of 9.3% pa versus 10.4% pa for the balanced fund. On a A\$100 investment in 1928 the switching portfolio would have grown to A\$135,559 by June 2009 compared to A\$293,317 for the fixed balanced mix. As noted earlier, over the same period A\$100 invested in cash would have grown to A\$6,879 and A\$100 invested in bonds would have grown to A\$23,331. The conclusions are clear. Over the long term, cash and government bonds will generate much lower returns than a diversified mix of assets and switching to cash after a bad patch is not the best strategy for maximising wealth over time.

Signs of recovery

Finally, there are good reasons to expect better returns ahead. Admittedly, unlisted assets such as direct property are still catching up to the earlier fall in shares. However, shares and other financial assets have staged strong gains since March and this has been flowing into superannuation fund returns. With increasing evidence of an approaching economic recovery, the profit outlook is likely to improve, and this, combined with the fact that shares are very attractive compared to low yielding cash and bonds, should help them recover further going forward.

Conclusion

The last two years have been disappointing for investors. However, history tells us that bouts of negative returns from growth assets, and hence superannuation funds, are to be expected. While switching to cash may seem tempting, this will only lock in losses and result in much lower long-term returns. The good news is that superannuation returns have started to improve over the last quarter, reflecting the improvement in financial markets. With global financial conditions on the mend and signs of an economic recovery appearing, a further improvement is likely.

Dr Shane Oliver

Head of Investment Strategy and Chief Economist
AMP Capital Investors

Contact us

If you would like to know more about how AMP Capital can help you, please visit ampcapital.com.au, or contact one of the following:

Financial Planners	AMP Capital's Investment Representative on 1300 139 267
Private Clients	Private Client Services on 1800 188 013
Wholesale Investors	AMP Capital's Client Service Team on 1800 658 404